New Methods for Image De-noising and Edge Enhancement in Cervical Smear Images Segmentation
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Abstract

The segmentation of cytoplasm and nucleus from a cervical cell image is one of important techniques for automatically detecting abnormal cervical cells. Noise on an image often makes segmentation inaccurate. This paper presents two new techniques, named trimming-mean filter and bi-grouping enhancer, to effectively eliminate noise and make the object boundaries more discernible. In this paper, the two proposed techniques are integrated with other techniques to create a nucleus and cytoplasm contour detector (NCCD detector) to automatically serve the cytoplasm and nucleus from a cervical smear image. Compared to the gradient vector flow active contour model (GVF-ACM) and a texture-based segmentation method, the NCCD detector has a better performance in segmentation. Five commonly used performance criteria, including misclassification error (ME), edge mismatch (EM), region nonuniformity (RU), relative foreground area (RFAE), and shape distortion penalty (SDP), will be taken to evaluate the segmentation techniques. The experimental results indicate that the NCCD detector is more effective in segmenting nucleus and cytoplasm from a cervical smear image.
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1. Introduction

The primary cause of mortality among women in Taiwan was cervical cancer before 1984. After the Taiwanese government encouraged women to undergo routine smear screening from 1996 to 2004, the death rate decreased to a fifth and is still decreasing [20]. Currently, smear screening is the most popular and efficient method to detect the abnormal cervical cells. The purpose of the screening is to diagnose pre-malignant cell changes before the cell progresses to a cancerous one.

Dysplasia cells have undergone precancerous changes, which generally have longer and darker nucleus and have a tendency to cling together in large clusters. It can be further divided into three stages — mild, moderate, and severe. Mild dysplasia cells are enlarged and have bright nuclei while the moderate dysplasia cells have larger and darker nuclei. The mild and moderate dysplasia cells may start to deteriorate and become severe dysplasia cells that have a large, dark, and often oddly shaped nucleus, dark cytoplasm, and is a relatively small cell [17]. Precancerous and cancerous cells are associated with a variety of morphologic and architectural alterations, including changes in cytoplasm and nucleus like brightness, roundness, size, elongation, perimeter, as well as the ratio of the cytoplasm area to the nucleus area. Figure 1 shows the examples of normal and abnormal squamous cells stained to enhance the image contrast.

Figure 1: Squamous cells stained to enhance image contrast for (a) normal cells and (b) abnormal cells.

Currently, manual screening methods are costly and sometimes prone to human errors that often result in inaccurate diagnosis. Introduction of machine assisted screening techniques will bring significant benefits to the community, not only reducing financial costs but increasing screening accuracy. Efficient segmentation of cell nucleus and cytoplasm is crucial in designing an assisted screening system.

Wu et al. [25] introduced a parametric optimal segmentation approach which is suitable for the images of non-overlapped cells with smooth cell boundaries or contours. To conduct the segmentation of cell images, a priori knowledge of the nuclear characteristics is critical, which includes the shape, size of the cell, and its intensities relative to its background.

Mat-Isa et al., based on thresholding, [18] utilized the region growing algorithm as a feature extraction technique. This proposed algorithm is called seeded region growing features extraction (SRGFE), which is used to extract the size and grey level of certain region of interest on a digital image. In the SRGFE
algorithm, the user needs to determine the region of interest by clicking the mouse on any pixels in the region and to specify the threshold value, which makes the system impractical.

Walker [26] used a series of automated fast morphological transforms with octagonal structuring elements. Each gray-scale cell image is first globally thresholded, resulting in an incomplete segmentation of the nucleus in binary form. Cytoplasmic backgrounds are removed by performing a closing of the image using a structured element smaller than a minute nucleus, and nuclear inhomogeneity is corrected by an opening of a similar size. However, it is only suitable for local thresholding because it is not fully automated.

Many other cytoplasm and nucleus morphological segmentation methods have been proposed in the related literatures [1-5, 8, 10, 12-13, 16, 18, 25-26, 29]. However, most of their results are based on tedious hand-segmentation of images. Martin [17] and Norup [19] take the CHAMP Digital image software to segment and classify cervical smear images. Unfortunately, the CHAMP Digital image software cannot provide a satisfied segmentation performance, especially for abnormal cervical cells. The aim of this paper is to develop an image segmentation system to sever the cytoplast and nucleus from a cervical smear image. This system is very helpful for developing an automated cervical smear screening system without a priori knowledge of the image objects.

Generally, the accuracy of an object contour detector depends on the quality of the image. The heavily stained cervical smear may be masked by menstrual blood, vaginal discharge, air artifacts etc., obscure to the abnormal cervical cells. Sometimes, overexposure or underexposure under the microscope light may also blur the cervical smear images. This brings about difficulties for cytopathologists to extract important morphologies of cervical cells due to these problems.

The principal objective of image preprocessing techniques is to make an image more suitable than the original image for further processing. Noises on an image may obstruct object segmentation, but the denoising operation often introduces observable blurring effects. To resolve the problems mentioned above, in this paper, two new techniques — trim-meaning filter and bi-grouping enhancer — are presented to eliminate the noises on an image and to sharpen the object contours before extracting the objects. This paper also proposes a nucleus and cytoplasm contour detector (NCCD detector) to automatically segment the cytoplasm and nucleus from a cervical smear image for further analyses.

The NCCD detector takes the trimming-mean filter to dispose of the impulse and Gaussian noises in a cervical smear image, while the bi-grouping enhancer is applied to sharpen the blur contours of the objects in the image. Additionally, Sobel operator [11] is adopted to compute the gradient map in the image. An automatic thresholding method proposed by Otsu [21] is applied to find the gradient thresholds. A thinning algorithm [15] is used to trim off the false contour pixels. Finally, the darkest segmented object, regarded as the nucleus, in a cell is effectively separated from the cytoplasm. Besides cervical smear images, the trim-meaning filter and bi-grouping enhancer are also available in detecting object contours on other images.

2. NCCD Detector

Most image segmentation methods perform well when the image quality is good enough for our human vision to distinguish the contours of the objects. However, many cervical smear images are contaminated so that the contours between cytoplasm and nuclei of the cervical cells are often vague, especially for abnormal cells. This paper applies the trim-meaning filter and bi-grouping enhancer in pre-processing steps to eliminate the noises and to highlight the contours of the objects on an image. Based on both proposed techniques, this paper provides the NCCD detector. This section will introduce the NCCD detector in detail.

2.1 Image Denoising

The efficiency of object segmentation mainly depends on the quality of the processed image. It is well known that the generation of an accurate edge map becomes a critical issue when the images are corrupted by noises. Impulse (also known as salt & pepper) and Gaussian noises are frequently encountered during image acquisition. A Gaussian noise is the pixel with amplitude slightly different from that of its neighbors, while an impulse noise is the pixel with amplitude much larger or much smaller than those of its neighbors. This paper proposes a trimming-mean filter to remove the impulse noise and Gaussian noise which frequently occurred in the cervical smear images

The trimming-mean filter works as follows. Let $p_{ij}$ be the pixel located at position (i, j) in a cervical smear image $I$, and $W_{ij}$ represent a window consisting of $m \times m$ pixels where $p_{ij}$ is located at its center. We call $W_{ij}$ the corresponding window of $p_{ij}$. Assume that $C_{ij} = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_{m^2}\}$ represents the colors of the pixels in $W_{ij}$, and the colors in $C_{ij}$ are sorted in ascending order, $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \cdots \leq c_{m^2}$.

Since impulse noises are generally a few pixels with amplitudes much larger or smaller than those of their neighbors, the colors close to the lower and upper tails in $C_{ij}$ may be the colors of impulse noises. Hence, the trimming-mean filter cuts off the lower and upper tails of $C_{ij}$ for efficient removal of the impulse noise. In contrast, Gaussian noises are the
pixels with amplitudes only a little different from those of their neighbors. The trimming-mean filter eliminates Gaussian noise by replacing the color of \( p_{i,j} \) with the average \( c' \) of the remaining pixel colors in \( C_{i,j} \). Both the impulse noise and Gaussian noise are expected to be eliminated effectively. Let \( \alpha \) represent the percentage of pixels trimmed on the lower and upper tails of \( C_{i,j} \), and \( c' \) can be calculated from the following equation:

\[
c' = \frac{1}{\alpha m^2} \sum_{c_i \in C_{i,j}, I \in I \pm (\alpha - a)m^2, (\pm a)m^2} c_i.
\]

Figure 2 tells that the trimming-mean filter can significantly eliminate the impulsive and Gaussian noises, where \( \alpha \) and \( m \) are set to be 0.5 and 5, respectively.

Several denoising techniques have been proposed, such as the average filter [14], the Gaussian filter [14] and type-B filter [23]. Figure 3 shows the images after eliminating the impulse noise and Gaussian noise using the previously mentioned filters. Figure 3(a) is a 64×64 synthetic image with the gray levels 125 and 175 in the central square and the surrounding areas, respectively. As shown in Figure 3(b), three percent of the impulse noises and Gaussian noises with parameter \( \text{Sigma} = 4 \) have been added to the image by using MATLAB image processing toolbox, \texttt{imnoise}. The PSNR between a noisy image and a denoised image is used to evaluate the efficacy of various denoising methods. As demonstrated in Figure 3, the trimming-mean filter can efficiently remove the impulse noise and Gaussian noise.

\[\text{Figure 2: (a) The original cell images and (b) their corresponding images denoised by trimming-mean filter.}\]

2.2 Edge Enhancement

The term “edge” stands for a local luminance change, where the gradient of sufficient strength is considered important in a given task. Other contextual edge detection techniques based on edge suppression have been previously proposed. Russo [23] proposed a type-A filter to enhance the contours of objects by taking into account the differences between the pixel to be processed and its neighbors; small differences are considered as noises which should be reduced while large differences are treated as edges to be preserved. A two-step procedure is applied to each image channel to increase the effectiveness of smoothing action. Hence, type-A pixels are those corrupted by noises with amplitude similar to those of their neighbors.

Yin [28] also proposed an automatically adaptive window–level selection algorithm, namely adaptive image optimization (AIO), for improving the image quality. In this algorithm, the ROI is first extracted by using the variance change and the integral projection; second, the image statistics values, such as maximum, minimum, and average values, are obtained in the detected ROI; finally, the window and level are determined from the image statistics values, and a contrast transfer function is obtained using the cubic spline interpolation. Adopting AIO, the quality of the image is improved by increasing the maximum dynamic range adaptively.

\[\text{Figure 3: (a) The synthetic image and (b) its corresponding image corrupted by 3% impulse noises and Gaussian noises with the parameter \text{Sigma} = 4. The images after being processed by using (c) average filter, (d) Gaussian filter, (e) type-B filter, and (f) trimming-mean filter, respectively.}\]

\[\text{Figure 4: Cervical smear cell images (upper) and their corresponding gradient edge maps (lower). (a) The original images and the images after being processed by (b) AIO, (c) Type-A filter, and (d) bi-grouping enhancers}\]
The experiment results show that in both methods, suppression has no effect on nearby edges which have equally strong gradients. This paper therefore proposes bi-grouping enhancer to effectively isolate the object pixels from the background pixels.

In practice, there are many images with vague object boundaries, such as the images in Figures 4(a). Besides, denoising operations often introduce severe blurring effect. The purpose of the bi-grouping enhancer is to discriminate the object pixels from background pixels close to the contours of the objects.

After being processed by the trimming-mean filter, the original cervical smear image \( I \) becomes the image \( I_i \). Similarly, let \( p_{ij} \) be the pixel located at the coordinates \((i, j)\) in \( I \), and \( W_{ij} \) be the corresponding window of \( p_{ij} \) where \( p_{ij} \) is the central pixel of \( W_{ij} \) consisting of \( m \times m \) pixels. Assume that \( C_{ij}=\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m\} \) indicates the colors of the pixels in \( W_{ij} \) sorted in ascending order, \( c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \ldots \leq c_m \).

The bi-grouping enhancer defines the interval between \( m^2 - 1 \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \) and \( C_{(m+1)/2} \) as well as the interval between \( C_{(m+3)/2} \) and \( m^2 - 1 \times \sum_{i=m+3}^{n} c_i \) as indefinite intervals. It is difficult to recognize whether \( p_{ij} \) is in an object or in background while the color \( c \) of \( p_{ij} \) lies in both intervals.

Set \( \text{index} = \lfloor \frac{m^2}{2} \rfloor \) and \( m \) to odd numbers. Hence, the bi-grouping enhancer replaces the grey level \( c \) of \( p_{ij} \) with \( c' \), where \( c' \) is defined as:

\[
c' = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i \leq c \leq \frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i, \\
\frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i \leq c \leq \frac{1}{\text{index}} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{index}} c_i, \\
c, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

If \( c \) is in the indefinite intervals, the bi-grouping enhancer changes \( c \) to the average \( c_o \) of the first half of \( C_{ij} \) when \( c \) is closer to \( c_o \) or \( c \) is supplanted by the average of the latter half of \( C_{ij} \). Figures 5 illustrates that the bi-grouping enhancer can more efficiently separate the object pixels from the background pixels effectively.

2.3 Gradient Calculation

Since an edge corresponds to a set of strong illumination gradients, the edge can be displayed in colors by calculating the derivatives of the image. The position of the edge can be estimated with the maximum of the first derivative or with the zero-crossing of the second derivative. Many gradient computation methods have been proposed, such as the Matlab gradient function, Roberts cross, and the Sobel operator [11]. The Sobel operator is widely used and has proved to be efficient for the gradient edge detector. Thus, this paper takes the Sobel operator to compute the gradients of all the pixels in the image obtained by the bi-grouping enhancer. The Sobel operator performs a 2-D spatial gradient measurement on an image and emphasizes the regions of high spatial gradient corresponding to edges. Two \( 3 \times 3 \) convolution masks shown in Figure 6 are employed in the Sobel operator.

Let \( p_{ij} \) be a pixel located at the coordinates \((i, j)\) in the image, and \( W_{ij} \) be the corresponding window of \( p_{ij} \) with \( 3 \times 3 \) pixels. The gradient \( g_{ij} \) of \( p_{ij} \) is defined as

\[
g_{ij} = (G_x * W_{ij})^2 + (G_y * W_{ij})^2)^{1/2},
\]

where \( * \) is the operator of convolution. Assume that \( g_m \) is the maximal gradient of all the pixels in the image. To generate an image \( f_g \) describing the gradients of the pixels in the image, this approach assigns the value \( \frac{g_{ij}}{g_m} \times 255 \) to the color intensity of the pixel located at the coordinates \((i, j)\) in image \( f_g \).
2.4 Threshold Finding

![Figure 7](image-url)

The color intensity of a pixel \( p_{ij} \) in \( f_b \) represents the possibility of the pixel located at the coordinates \((i, j)\) in \( f_b \) to be an edge pixel. To successfully cut off the cytoplast and nucleus from \( f_b \), given an adaptive threshold to isolate the possible edge pixels is the pre-requisite. When given a bigger threshold, higher contrast edges may be obtained but some desired low contrast edges may be missed. On the contrary, lower contrast edges may be obtained but some desired low contrast edges may be missed. The optimal threshold \( T_h \) is found through a sequence of searches:

\[
T_h = \arg \left( \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{t}{\sigma_b(t)} \right) \right)
\]

After that, the NCCD detector sweeps each pixel \( p_{ij} \) in \( f_b \) to generate a binary image \( f^{(b)} \). If the color intensity of \( p_{ij} \) is greater than or equal to \( T_h \), the NCCD detector assigns \( I \) to the color of the pixel located at the coordinates \((i, j)\) on \( f_b \); otherwise it is given to be 0. The pixels in \( f_b \) corresponding to the pixels colored by \( I \) in \( f_b \) are called candidate edge pixels. Figure 7 shows the gradients obtained by Matlab gradient function, Roberts cross, and the Sobel operator, and their sequence of searches.

2.5 Nucleus and Cytoplasm Segmentation

The expected edge should be one-pixel thick. The NCCD detector hence adopts a hit-and-miss transform based skeletonization (HMTS) algorithm [15] to build the edges of thickness of one pixel. We name the eliminated candidate edge pixels redundant-edge pixels and the remaining candidate edge pixels true-edge pixels.
In this paper, the HMTS algorithm is used to remove the redundant-edge pixels so that each edge’s thickness is one pixel. Let each pixel \( p_{ij} \) in \( f_b \) correspond to a window \( W_{ij} \), where \( W_{ij} \) consists of 3×3 pixels and \( p_{ij} \) be the central pixel of \( W_{ij} \). This algorithm compares \( W_{ij} \) with each of the eight structuring elements shown in Figure 8 where the gray areas stand for don’t-care pixels. A don’t-care pixel may be a 0-bit pixel or a 0-bit pixel. We say \( W_{ij} \) is matched if the positions and values of the I-bits and 0-bits on one structuring element are completely the same as those of \( W_{ij} \) regarding don’t-care pixels. When \( W_{ij} \) is matched, the color of \( p_{ij} \) is changed into 0.

The HMTS algorithm is performed to trim away the redundant-edge pixels, so that the edges are the thickness of only one pixel. It scans each pixel \( p_{ij} \) in \( f_b \). If \( W_{ij} \) is match, \( p_{ij} \) is given to be 0-bit. The algorithm repeats this procedure until no more thinning has to be performed. The HMTS algorithm guarantees that connectivity is preserved so that the overall geometric structure of the object in the image is preserved.

However, uneven edges of objects tend to cause small spurs on the skeleton, which are not the required edges in this paper. Therefore, a pruning algorithm is required to remove them. The procedure of the pruning algorithm is entirely the same as those of the HMTS algorithm except for the eight structuring elements in Figure 8 are replaced by the eight structuring elements in Figure 9.

Finally, the biggest closed loop \( L_c \) on \( f_b \) describes the contour of the cytoplasm, and the darkest region on \( f_b \) surrounded by a loop which corresponds to a closed loop \( L_n \) on \( f_b \) within \( L_c \) is the nucleus. It means that \( L_c \) and \( L_n \) are the cytoplasm and nucleus contours of the cervical cell on \( f_b \). Figure 11 shows the results obtained in each approach of the NCCD detector.

3. Experimental Results

The purpose of this section is to investigate the performance of the NCCD detector by experiments compared to the performances of the GVF-ACM method [27] and the CHAMP software [17, 19]. These experiments use of 25 gray-level cervical smear images as the test images, each with 64×64 pixels, where 12 were provided by Dr. Huang of the Taichung Hospital in Taiwan, R.O.C. and the remnants were downloaded from the cell image database from the Technical University of Denmark, DTU [17]. Figure 16 displays these test images and their target cytoplasm and nucleus contours, manually drawn by an experienced doctor.

In these experiments, the NCCD detector was employed to extract the cytoplasm and nucleus contours of the test images. It takes \( m=5 \) and \( \alpha=0.5 \) in trim-meaning approach, and \( m=5 \) in bi-grouping approach. The GVF-ACM method is adopted to sever the cytoplasts and nucleuses from the test images where all the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \kappa \) are given to be 1. The CHAMP software is also used to separate the cytoplasts and nucleuses from the test images. Figure 11 gives the cytoplasm and nucleus contours of the 25 test images cut by the NCCD detector, GVF-ACM method, and CHAMP software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Original Image</th>
<th>Target Contour</th>
<th>CHAMP</th>
<th>ACM-GVF</th>
<th>NCCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image16.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image17.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image19.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image20.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image21.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image22.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image23.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image24.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image25.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11: The original images, the target cytoplasm and nucleus contours of the test image, and the cytoplasm and nucleus contours cut by the CHAMP software, GVF-ACM method, and NCCD detector.

Mehmet Sezgin and Bulent Sankur [24] survey five frequently used error measures of segmentation: misclassification error (ME), edge mismatch (EM), region nonuniformity (RU), relative foreground area error (RFAE), and shape distortion penalty (SDP). This paper also evaluates the performances of the CHAMP software, GVF-ACM method, and NCCD detector via ME, EM, RU, RFAE, and SDP. Table 1 respectively lists the averages (AVE) and standard deviations (Std) of the error measures for the extracted cytoplasm and nucleus contours of the 25 testing images cut by the CHAMP software, GVF-ACM method, and NCCD detector.

Table 1. The averages and standard deviations (Ave/Std) of the error measures for the extracted cytoplasm and nucleus contours obtained by the CHAMP software, GVF-ACM method, and NCCD detector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>ME</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>RU</th>
<th>RFAE</th>
<th>MHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GVF-ACM</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>0.015/0.016</td>
<td>0.681/0.130</td>
<td>0.015/0.034</td>
<td>0.355/0.199</td>
<td>1.260/0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMP</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>0.011/0.014</td>
<td>0.399/0.174</td>
<td>0.011/0.036</td>
<td>0.249/0.170</td>
<td>0.512/0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCCD</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>0.002/0.004</td>
<td>0.072/0.067</td>
<td>0.016/0.033</td>
<td>0.047/0.093</td>
<td>0.125/0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVF-ACM</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>0.079/0.064</td>
<td>0.554/0.221</td>
<td>0.100/0.112</td>
<td>0.124/0.124</td>
<td>1.436/0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMP</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>0.058/0.034</td>
<td>0.416/0.117</td>
<td>0.143/0.124</td>
<td>0.106/0.076</td>
<td>0.757/0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCCD</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>0.049/0.010</td>
<td>0.048/0.067</td>
<td>0.109/0.066</td>
<td>0.020/0.032</td>
<td>0.143/0.215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Original images</th>
<th>Without trim-meaning and bi-grouping</th>
<th>With trim-meaning and bi-grouping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The GVF-ACM method is very sensitive to the curves of objects and noises. Hence, the GVF-ACM method cannot provide a satisfactory performance for segmenting the nucleuses and cytoplasm of most images in Figure 11. Although different results could be obtained by changing the values of its parameters, the optimal parameters are image-dependent. It is very difficult to specify a set of parameters which can be applied to all of the images. The CHAMP software works very well, such as, the images 2, 3, 5, 8, 17, 21 in Figure 11, when the texture of an object is uniformed. Otherwise, it gives unsatisfactory results, for example, the images 6, 7, 11-15 in Figure 11. Table 1 and Figure 11 demonstrate that normally the NCCD detector can provide a much better performance in segmenting cytoplasm and nucleus.

The next experiment is to scrutinize the performance of the trim-meaning filter and bi-grouping enhancer. In this experiment, Otsu’s method is used to find the adaptive threshold for isolating the possible edge pixels on the original images in Figure 12 without the pre-processed by the trim-meaning filter and bi-grouping enhancer. The images in column “Without trim-meaning and bi-grouping” of Figure 12 are the experimental results. Additionally, the images in column “With trim-meaning and bi-grouping” of Figure 12 are the results obtained by the NCCD detector.

4. Conclusions

This paper presents a NCCD detector to automatically extract the cytoplasm and nucleus of a cervical smear image. Noises on an image often make segmentation inaccurate. This paper provides the trimming-mean filter to eliminate the noises on an image, and the bi-grouping enhancer to suppress the noises and brighten the object contours. These two proposed techniques are then integrated with the Sobel operator, Otsu’s method, HMTS algorithm, and pruning algorithm, to create the NCCD detector automatically detecting the cytoplasm and nucleus contours of a cervical smear image.

This paper also takes five frequently used error measures of segmentation to evaluate the efficiency of segmentation obtained by the CHAMP software, GVF-ACM method, and NCCD detector. The experimental results show that the NCCD detector can give much better segmentation effectiveness than the CHAMP software and GVF-ACM method. The experimental results also show that the trimming-mean filter and the bi-grouping enhancer are much helpful to eliminate noises and intensify the contour of an object. Besides the cervical smear image, the trimming-mean filter and the bi-grouping enhancer can be also valuable for detecting the object contours on other images.
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